Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supple	mental
LRB	Number	13-3784/1		Introdu	ıction Numb	er A	B-057	6
Descr Object		y tax assessme	ents					
Fiscal	Effect							
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Reve	ease Existing	⊠ Increas to abso [Decrea	orb within	n agency'	e possible 's budget ☑No
	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease		3. Increa	ease Revenue	atory ⊠ Tov ⊠ Cou ∑ Sch	ment Un vns [͡z unties [͡	nits Affect ☑ Village ☑ Others ☑ WTCS ☑ District	⊠ Cities
Fund	Sources Affe PR		PRS :	SEG 🗍 SEG	Affected Ch.	20 Арр	propriatio	ons
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву		Authorized Si	gnature			Date
DOR/ Vuko lwata (608) 267-9892				Robert Schmidt (608) 267-9892				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 12/20/2013

LRB Number 13-3784/1	Introduction Number	AB-0576	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
Objecting to property tax assessments								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, when an assessor determines that a property's assessment is different from the prior year's, the assessor must provide written notice of the changed assessment to the property owner at least 15 days before the meeting of the Board of Review (BOR). In addition, a property owner or his/her representative must appear in person at the BOR hearing to testify regarding the property owner's objection to a property tax assessment. Current law also requires the BOR to presume that the assessor's assessment is correct and the presumption can only be rebutted by a property owner with a sufficient showing that the assessment is incorrect. Under current law, a property owner may file a claim for an excessive assessment with the taxation district where the property is located, and if the district disallows the claim, the property owner may take an action in circuit court to recover the amount of the claim.

Under this bill, a notice of changed assessment must be sent at least 60 days before the BOR meeting, and the bill permits a property owner to submit written statements instead of appearing at the BOR hearing. The bill stipulates the property owner may rebut the presumption that the assessment is correct by showing by the preponderance of the evidence, instead of by a sufficient showing, that the assessment is incorrect. Under the bill, a property owner may commence an action with the Tax Appeals Commission (TAC), rather than in the circuit court, if the assessment exceeds \$1,000,000.

The bill may lead to an increase in the number of appeals beyond the BOR process. However, it is not feasible to project the increase in the number of appeals due to the changes in the bill. In addition, it is not possible to estimate the financial impact of changing the legal criteria from a "sufficient showing" to the "preponderance of the evidence" to prove that the new assessment is incorrect. Allowing property owners to submit written statements to a BOR may increase workload and administrative costs for local governments.

Currently, assessment rolls are completed and submitted to the municipal clerk no later than the 1st of May, and the BOR meetings are held during the 30-day period, beginning the second Monday of May. Changing the notice timeline from 15 days prior to the BOR meeting to 60 days prior to the BOR meeting would delay the BOR meeting, assuming that assessors do not move up the assessment schedule, and force assessors to submit to the DOR "estimated" rather than "final" Municipal Assessment Report and Tax Incremental District Report for all municipalities. This may result in equalized value corrections for every municipality and increase the workload for the DOR. In this case, the DOR estimates additional 0.75 FTE (\$71,000 for salary and fringe) per district office, or 3.75 FTEs (\$355,000 for salary and fringe) for all 5 DOR district offices, would be required to meet the increased workload.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2013 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental	
LRB	Number	13-3784	/1	Intro	duction Nur	mber <i>i</i>	AB-0576	
	ription ting to propert	ty tax assess	ments					
	e-time Costs o alized fiscal e		Impacts for	State and/o	Local Govern	ment (do	not include in	
II. An	nualized Cos	ts:			Annualized Fi	iscal Impa	ct on funds from:	
					Increased Cost	s	Decreased Costs	
A. Sta	ate Costs by	Category						
Sta	te Operations	- Salaries an	d Fringes		\$355,000			
(FT	E Position Ch	anges)			(3.8 FTE)			
Sta	te Operations	- Other Cost	S					
Loc	al Assistance							
Aid	s to Individual	s or Organiza	ations					
1	OTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory		\$355,00	0	\$	
B. Sta	ate Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds					
GP	R				355,00	0		
FEI	D							
PR	O/PRS							
SE	G/SEG-S							
	ate Revenues lues (e.g., tax				ll will increase ts.)	or decrea	se state	
					Increased Re	V	Decreased Rev	
GP	R Taxes				9	5	\$	
GP	R Earned							
FE	D							
PR	O/PRS							
SE	G/SEG-S							
1	TOTAL State Revenues					5	\$	
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FISC	AL IMPACT			
					<u>Stat</u>	<u>e</u>	<u>Local</u>	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$355,00	0	\$		
NET (CHANGE IN R	REVENUE			9	3	\$	
Agen	cy/Prepared I	Ву		Authorized	Signature		Date	
DOR/ Yuko Iwata (608) 267-9892 Rob				Robert Schr	bert Schmidt (608) 267-9892 12/20			